Erratum

In the article, Nonvascular and Portal Vein Applications of Cone-Beam Computed Tomography: Current Status, by Kapoor BS, Esparaz A, Levitin A, et al (Tech Vasc Interv Radiol 2013;16:150-160) the following errors were included:

1. On page 152, the authors discuss a paper by Iwazawa then state “In a study of 48 technically successful RFA procedures, with MDCT as the gold-standard comparison, the authors found no significant difference between MDCT and contrast-enhanced CBCT in detecting insufficient ablative margins (defined as <5 mm).”

Correction: This should read as “In a study of 48 ablative margins of 12 technically successful RFA procedures, with MDCT as the gold-standard comparison, the authors found no significant difference between MDCT and contrast-enhanced CBCT in detecting insufficient ablative margins (defined as <5 mm).”

2. On page 157, the authors state “Pedicelli et al reported on their 6-year experience applying 2D multiplanar reformating images generated by CBCT in more than 950 percutaneous extravascular spinal procedures.”

Correction: This should read as “Pedicelli et al reported on their 6-year experience applying 2D multiplanar reformating images generated by CBCT in 158 of fluoroscopically guided 950 percutaneous extravascular spinal procedures.”

Doi of the original article: 10.1053/j.tvir.2013.02.010